

CRITERIA FOR THE BOUNDEDNESS OF POTENTIAL OPERATORS IN GRAND LEBESGUE SPACES

ALEXANDER MESKHI

Abstract. It is shown that that the fractional integral operators with the parameter α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, are not bounded between the generalized grand Lebesgue spaces $L^{p),\theta_1}$ and $L^{q),\theta_2}$ for $\theta_2 < (1 + \alpha q)\theta_1$, where $1 < p < 1/\alpha$ and $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$. Besides this, it is proved that the one-weight inequality

$$\|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q),\theta(1+\alpha q)}} \leq c\|f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}},$$

where I_α is the Riesz potential operator on the interval $[0, 1]$, holds if and only if $w \in A_{1+q/p'}$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B25, 46E30.

Key words and phrases: Grand Lebesgue spaces, potential operators, weights, Boundedness, one-weight inequality.

Introduction

In this paper we show that potential operators with the parameter α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, are not bounded from $L^p)$ to $L^q)$, where $1 < p < \infty$ and q is the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev exponent of p : $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$. This phenomena motivates us to investigate the boundedness problem for the Riesz potential operator I_α in the generalized grand Lebesgue spaces. In particular, we study this problem in $L_w^{p,\theta}$ spaces and prove that the one-weight inequality

$$\|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q),\theta(1+\alpha q)}([0,1])} \leq c\|f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}([0,1])}$$

holds if and only if w belongs to the Muckenhoupt's class $A_{1+q/p'}$.

The unweight spaces $L^{p,\theta}$ (i.e. $L_w^{p,\theta}$ for $w \equiv const$) were introduced by E. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [6] when they studied existence and uniqueness of the nonhomogeneous $n-$ harmonic equation $\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) = \mu$.

The grand Lebesgue spaces $L^p) = L^{p,1}$ first appeared in the paper by T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [7]. In that paper the authors showed that if $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to the Sobolev class $W^{1,1}$, where Ω is an open subset in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, then the Jacobian determinant $J = J(f, x) = \det Df(x)$ ($J(x, f) \geq 0$ a.e.) of f belongs to the class $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ provided that $g \in L^n)$, where

$$g(x) := |Df(x)| = \{\sup |Df(x)y| : y \in S^{n-1}\}.$$

Recently necessary and sufficient conditions guaranteeing the one-weight inequality for the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator in $L_w^{p)}(I)$, where $I = [0, 1]$, were established by A. Fiorenza, B. Gupra and P. Jain [4], while the same problem for the Hilbert transform was studied in the paper [8]. In particular, it turned out that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator (resp. the Hilbert transform) is bounded in $L_w^{p)}(I)$ if and only if the weight w belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $A_p(I)$.

1 Preliminaries

Let Ω be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let w be an a.e. positive, integrable function on Ω (i.e. a weight). The weighted generalized grand Lebesgue space $L_w^{p,\theta}(\Omega)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) is the class of those $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(\Omega)} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega |f(t)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(t) dt \right)^{1/(p-\varepsilon)}$$

is finite.

If $w \equiv 1$, then we denote $L^{p,\theta}(\Omega) := L_1^{p,\theta}(\Omega)$. The space $L_w^{p,\theta}(\Omega)$ is not rearrangement invariant unless $w \equiv const$.

Hölder's inequality and simple estimates yield the following embeddings (see also [6], [4]):

$$L_w^p(\Omega) \subset L_w^{p,\theta_1}(\Omega) \subset L_w^{p,\theta_2}(\Omega) \subset L_w^{p-\varepsilon}(\Omega), \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < p-1$ and $\theta_1 < \theta_2$.

In the classical weighted Lebesgue spaces L_w^p the equality

$$\|f\|_{L_w^p} = \|w^{1/p} f\|_{L^p}$$

holds but this property fails in the case of grand Lebesgue spaces. In particular, there is $f \in L_w^{p)}$ such that $w^{1/p} f \notin L^p$ (see also [4] for the details).

Let φ be positive increasing function on $(0, p - 1)$ satisfying the condition $\varphi(0+) = 0$, where $1 < p < \infty$. We will also need the following auxiliary class of functions defined on Ω and associated with φ :

$$L_w^{p),\varphi(x)}(\Omega) := \left\{ f : \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \left(\varphi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \|f\|_{L_w^{p-\varepsilon}} \right) < \infty \right\}.$$

The space $L_w^{p),\theta}(\Omega)$, $\theta > 0$, is the special case of $L_w^{p),\varphi(x)}(\Omega)$ taking $\varphi(x) = \frac{x^\theta}{|\Omega|}$.

Throughout the paper the symbol $\varphi(t) \approx \psi(t)$ means that there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that $c_1\varphi(t) \leq \psi(t) \leq c_2\varphi(t)$. Constants (often different constants in the same series of inequalities) will generally be denoted by c or C . By the symbol p' we denote the conjugate number of p , i.e. $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$, $1 < p < \infty$.

2 Fractional Integrals and Fractional Maximal Functions in Unweighted Grand Lebesgue Spaces

Let

$$(I_\alpha f)(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{1-\alpha}} dy, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

be the Riesz potential operator defined on $[0, 1]$. We begin with the following result:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p < \frac{1}{\alpha}$, θ_1 and θ_2 be positive numbers such that $\theta_2 < \theta_1(1 + \alpha q)$, where $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$. Then the operator I_α is not bounded from $L^{p),\theta_1}$ to $L^{q),\theta_2}$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary: I_α is bounded from $L^{p),\theta_1}$ to $L^{q),\theta_2}$ i. e. the inequality

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L^{q),\theta_2}} \leq c \|f\|_{L^{p),\theta_1}} \quad (2.1)$$

holds, where the positive constant c does not depend on f . Taking $f = \chi_J$ in (2.1), where J is an interval in $[0, 1]$, we have

$$(I_\alpha f)(x) = \int_J \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{1-\alpha}} \geq |J|^\alpha, \quad x \in J.$$

Consequently,

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L^{q),\theta_2}} \geq |J|^\alpha \|\chi_J\|_{L^{q),\theta_2}}.$$

Taking inequality (2.1) into account we have that

$$|J|^\alpha \|\chi_J\|_{L^{q),\theta_2}} \leq c \|\chi_J\|_{L^{p),\theta_1}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the positive constant c does not depend on J .

Let us define the number ε_J which is between 0 and $p - 1$ and satisfies the condition

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \left(\varepsilon^{\theta_1} |J| \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} = \left(\varepsilon_J^{\theta_1} |J| \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon_J}} \quad (2.3)$$

Now we claim that $\lim_{|J| \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_J = 0$. Indeed, suppose the contrary: that there is a sequence of intervals J_n and a positive number λ such that $|J_n| \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon_{J_n} \geq \lambda > 0$ for all $n \in N$. It is obvious that we can choose J_{n_0} so that

$$\frac{|J_{n_0}|^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} (p-1)}{e} < e^{-\frac{p}{\lambda/2}}.$$

Now we claim that $f'(x) < 0$ for all $x \in [\lambda/2, p-1]$, where $f(x) = (x^{\theta_1} |J_{n_0}|)^{\frac{1}{p-x}}$. Indeed, it is easy to see that for $\lambda/2 \leq x \leq p-1$, the inequalities

$$\frac{|J_{n_0}|^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} x}{e} \leq \frac{|J_{n_0}|^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} (p-1)}{e} < e^{-\frac{p}{\lambda/2}} \leq e^{-\frac{p}{x}}.$$

hold. Hence, using the formula

$$f'(x) = f(x) \cdot \frac{1}{p-x} \left[\frac{\ln(x^{\theta_1} |J_{n_0}|)}{p-x} + \frac{\theta_1}{x} \right]$$

and the fact that

$$f'(x) < 0 \iff \frac{x^{\theta_1} |J_{n_0}|^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}}}{e} < e^{-\frac{p}{x}}$$

we conclude that $f'(x) < 0$.

This observation together with the equality $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$ gives that $\varepsilon_{J_{n_0}} < \lambda$, where $\varepsilon_{J_{n_0}}$ is defined by

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \left(\varepsilon^{\theta_1} |J_{n_0}| \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} = \left(\varepsilon_{J_{n_0}}^{\theta_1} |J_{n_0}| \right)^{1/(p-\varepsilon_{J_{n_0}})}.$$

This contradicts the assumption that $\varepsilon_{J_n} \geq \lambda > 0$ for all n . Further, we choose η_J so that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p - \varepsilon_J} - \frac{1}{q - \eta_J}.$$

This is equivalent to say that

$$\eta_J = q - \frac{p - \varepsilon_J}{1 - \alpha(p - \varepsilon_J)}. \quad (2.4)$$

By (2.2) and (2.3) we have that

$$|J|^\alpha \eta_J^{\frac{\theta_2}{q-\eta_J}} |J|^{\frac{1}{q-\eta_J}} \leq c \varepsilon_J^{\frac{\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_J}} |J|^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon_J}}. \quad (2.5)$$

(here we used the fact that if ε_J is small, then $0 < \eta_J < q - 1$). Now (2.5) yield:

$$\eta_J^{\frac{\theta_2}{q-\eta_J}} \varepsilon_J^{-\frac{\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_J}} \leq c. \quad (2.6)$$

Further, (2.4) and (2.6) imply

$$\left(\frac{q - \frac{p-\varepsilon_J}{1-\alpha(p-\varepsilon_J)}}{\varepsilon_J} \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{p-\varepsilon_J}-\alpha\theta_2} \varepsilon_J^{-\frac{\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_J}+\frac{\theta_2}{p-\varepsilon_J}-\alpha\theta_2} \leq c. \quad (2.7)$$

Passing now to the limit as $|J| \rightarrow 0$ we see that the left-hand side of (2.7) tends to $+\infty$ because the limit of the first factor is $\left[\frac{1}{(1-\alpha p)^2} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{p}-\alpha\theta_2}$, and

$$\lim_{|J| \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_J^{\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_J}-\alpha\theta_2} = \lim_{|J| \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_J^{\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{p}-\alpha\theta_2} = \infty$$

(Here we used the observation $\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1} < 1 + \alpha q \iff \frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{p} - \alpha\theta_2 < 0$). \square

Analysing the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have the result similar to that of the previous statement for the fractional maximal operator

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{\substack{J \ni x \\ J \subset [0,1]}} \frac{1}{|J|^{1-\alpha}} \int_J |f|, \quad x \in [0,1].$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let the conditions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Then the operator M_α is not bounded from $L^{p),\theta_1}$ to $L^{q),\theta_2}$.*

Proof. Proof is the same as in the case of Theorem 2.1. We only need to observe that the inequality

$$M_\alpha f(x) \geq \frac{1}{|J|^{1-\alpha}} \int_J dx = |J|^\alpha, \quad x \in J,$$

holds for $f(x) = \chi_J(x)$, where J is a subinterval of $[0, 1]$. Details are omitted. \square

3 Sobolev's Embedding in Weighted Generalized Grand Lebesgue Spaces

This section is devoted to the investigation of the one-weight inequality for the operator I_α in $L_w^{p),\theta}$ spaces.

First we introduce the function

$$\varphi(u) = \left[\frac{u-q}{1-\alpha(u-q)} + p \right]^{1-(u-q)\alpha} \quad (3.1)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p < \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$.

To prove the main results we need some auxiliary statements.

Lemma 3.1. $\varphi(x) \approx x^{1+\alpha q}$ near 0.

The proof is straightforward and therefore is omitted.

Lemma 3.2. Let $1 < q < \infty$ and let w be a weight. Then

$$\|f\|_{L_w^{q,\varphi(x)}([0,1])} \approx \|f\|_{L_w^{q,1+\alpha q}([0,1])}$$

where φ is defined by (3.1).

Proof. Follows immediately from Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let $1 < q < \infty$ and let $\theta > 0$. Then

$$\|f\|_{L_w^{q,\varphi(x^\theta)}([0,1])} \approx \|f\|_{L_w^{q,\theta(1+\alpha q)}([0,1])},$$

where φ is defined by (3.1)

The proof follows immediately from Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.4. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let φ be as above. Then there is a positive constant c such that for all intervals $J \subset [0, 1]$ and $f \in L_w^{p,\varphi(x)}$ the inequality

$$\|f\|_{L_w^{p,\varphi(x)}(J)} \leq c(w(J))^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_J |f(t)|^p w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\chi_J\|_{L_w^{p,\varphi(x)}}$$

holds.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_w^{p,\varphi(x)}(J)} &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \left(\varphi(\varepsilon) \int_J |f(x)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \left(\varphi(\varepsilon) \int_J |f(x)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x)^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p}} w(x)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left(\int_J \left(|f(x)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x)^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\varepsilon}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_J \left[w^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}(x) \right]^{\frac{p}{\varepsilon}} dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-\varepsilon)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \varphi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left(\int_J |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_J w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-\varepsilon)}} \\
&= \left(\int_J |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_J w(x) dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \left(\varphi(\varepsilon) \int_J w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\
&= \left(\int_J |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (w(J))^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|\chi_J\|_{L_w^{p,\varphi(x)}(J)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5. Let $\theta > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < 1/p$ and let $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$. Suppose that the inequality

$$\|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q,\theta}([0,1])} \leq c \|f\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}([0,1])} \quad (3.2)$$

holds. Then

$$\int_0^1 w^{-p'/q}(x) dx < \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose the contrary: $\int_0^1 w^{-p'/q}(x) dx = \|w^{\alpha-1}\|_{L_w^{p'}} = \infty$. This means that there is a function $g \in L_w^p$ such that $\int_0^1 gw^\alpha = \infty$.

On the other hand,

$$I_\alpha(gw^\alpha)(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{g(t)w^\alpha(t)}{|x-t|^{1-\alpha}} dt \geq \int_0^1 g(t)w^\alpha(t) dt = \infty, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Further, Lemma 3.4 with $\varphi(x) = x^\theta$ implies that $g \in L_w^{p,\theta}([0, 1])$. But $I_\alpha(gw^\alpha)(x) = \infty$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. This contradicts inequality (3.2). □

Definition 3.1. Let $1 < r < \infty$. We say that a weight function w belongs to the Muckenhoupt's class $A_r([0, 1])$ ($w \in A_r([0, 1])$) if

$$A_r(w) := \sup_{J \subset [0,1]} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J w \right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J w^{1-r'} \right)^{1/r'} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all subintervals J of $[0, 1]$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p < 1/\alpha$. We set $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$. Suppose that $w \in A_{1+q/p'}([0, 1])$, i.e.,*

$$\sup_{J \subset [0, 1]} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J w \right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J w^{-p'/q} \right)^{1/p'} < \infty.$$

Then there are positive constants σ_1 , σ_2 and L satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p - \sigma_2} - \frac{1}{q - \sigma_1} &= \alpha, \quad w \in A_{1+\frac{q-\sigma_1}{(p-\sigma_2)'},} \\ \|K_\alpha\|_{L_w^{p-\eta} \rightarrow L_w^{q-\varepsilon}} &\leq L \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \sigma_1$, $0 \leq \eta \leq \sigma_2$ with $\frac{1}{p-\eta} - \frac{1}{q-\varepsilon} = \alpha$, where K_α is the operator defined as follows $K_\alpha f = I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)$.

Proof. Since $w \in A_{1+q/p'}$ by the openness property of Muckenhoupt's classes (see [9]) we have that there are small positive numbers σ_1 and σ_2 such that $\frac{1}{p-\sigma_2} - \frac{1}{q-\sigma_1} = \alpha$ and $w \in A_{1+(q-\sigma_1)/(p-\sigma_2)'}.$

By the result of B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden [10] we have that the operator K_α is bounded from L_w^p to L_w^q and from $L_w^{p-\sigma_2}$ to $L_w^{q-\sigma_1}$. Let $0 < t < 1$ and let us define positive numbers η and ε so that

$$\frac{1}{p - \eta} = \frac{t}{p} + \frac{1-t}{p - \sigma_2}, \quad \frac{1}{q - \varepsilon} = \frac{t}{q} + \frac{1-t}{q - \sigma_1}.$$

Then by applying the Rieasz–Thorin theorem (see e.g. [2], p. 16) we have that K_α is bounded from $L^{p-\eta}$ to $L^{q-\varepsilon}$ and moreover,

$$\|K_\alpha\|_{L_w^{p-\eta} \rightarrow L_w^{q-\varepsilon}} \leq \|K_\alpha\|_{L_w^p \rightarrow L_w^q}^t \|K_\alpha\|_{L_w^{p-\sigma_2} \rightarrow L_w^{q-\sigma_1}}^{1-t}.$$

Observe now that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p - \eta} - \frac{1}{q - \varepsilon} &= \frac{t}{p} - \frac{t}{q} + \frac{1-t}{p - \sigma_2} - \frac{1-t}{q - \sigma_1} \\ &= t\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) + (1-t)\left(\frac{1}{p - \sigma_2} - \frac{1}{q - \sigma_1}\right) = t\alpha + (1-t)\alpha = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved since we can take $L = \|K_\alpha\|_{L_w^p \rightarrow L_w^q} \|K_\alpha\|_{L_w^{p-\sigma_2} \rightarrow L_w^{q-\sigma_1}}$ (since without loss of generality we can assume that each term is greater or equal to 1). \square

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $0 < \alpha < 1/p$. Suppose that $\theta > 0$. We set $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$. Then the inequality*

$$\|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q,\theta(1+\alpha q)}([0,1])} \leq c\|f\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}([0,1])} \tag{3.3}$$

holds if and only if $w \in A_{1+q/p'}([0, 1])$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we have that (3.3) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q),\psi(x)}([0,1])} \leq c\|f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}([0,1])}, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\psi(x) = \varphi(x^\theta), \quad \varphi(x) = \left[\frac{x-q}{1-\alpha(x-q)} + p \right]^{1-(x-1)\alpha}. \quad (3.5)$$

Necessity. Let (3.3) and hence (3.4) hold. By Lemma 3.5 we have that $\int_0^1 w^{-p'/q} < \infty$. Let us take $f = \chi_J w^{-\alpha-p'/q}$. Then for $x \in J$, we get that

$$I_\alpha(w^\alpha f)(x) \geq \frac{1}{|J|^{1-\alpha}} \int_J w^\alpha f = \frac{1}{|J|^{1-\alpha}} \int_J w^{-p'/q}.$$

Hence,

$$\|I_\alpha(w^\alpha f)\|_{L_w^{q),\psi(x)}([0,1])} \geq |J|^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_J w^{-p'/q} \right) \|\chi_J\|_{L_w^{q),\psi(x)}([0,1])}.$$

Further, by Lemma 3.4 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & |J|^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_J w^{-p'/q} \right) \|\chi_J\|_{L_w^{q),\psi(x)}([0,1])} \\ & \leq c\|f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}([0,1])} \leq c(w(J))^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_J |f(t)|^p w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\chi_J\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}([0,1])} \\ & = cw(J)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_J w^{-p'/q} \right)^{1/p} \|\chi_J\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}([0,1])}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, it is easy to see that there is a number η_J depending on J such that $0 < \eta_J \leq p-1$ and

$$|J|^{\alpha-1} w(J)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_J w^{-p'/q} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|\chi_J\|_{L_w^{q),\psi(x)}([0,1])} \leq c(\eta_J w(J))^{\frac{1}{p-\eta_J}}.$$

For such η_J we choose ε_J so that

$$\frac{1}{p-\eta_J} - \frac{1}{q-\varepsilon_J} = \alpha.$$

Then $0 < \varepsilon_J \leq q - 1$ and

$$|J|^{\alpha-1} w(J)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p-\eta_J}} \eta_J^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\eta_J}} \psi(\varepsilon_J)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon_J}} w(J)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon_J}} \left(\int_J w^{-p'/q} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq c.$$

Observe that by Lemma 3.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_J^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\eta_J}} \psi(\varepsilon_J)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon_J}} &= \eta_J^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\eta_J}} \varphi(\varepsilon_J^\theta)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon_J}} \approx \eta_J^{-\frac{\theta}{p-\eta_J}} \varepsilon_J^{\frac{\theta(1+\alpha q)}{q-\varepsilon_J}} = \left(\eta_J^{-\frac{1}{p-\eta_J}} \varepsilon_J^{\frac{1+\alpha q}{q-\varepsilon_J}} \right)^\theta \\ &\approx \left(\eta_J^{-\frac{1}{p-\eta_J}} \varphi(\varepsilon_J)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon_J}} \right)^\theta = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and also,

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p-\eta_J} + \frac{1}{q-\varepsilon_J} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Finally, we have that

$$|J|^{\alpha-1} w(J)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_J w^{-p'/q} \right)^{1/p'} \leq c.$$

Necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. Using Lemma 3.6 we have that there are positive constants σ_1, σ_2 and L satisfying the conditions: $\frac{1}{p-\sigma_2} - \frac{1}{q-\sigma_1} = \alpha$, $w \in A_{1+\frac{q-\sigma_1}{(p-\sigma_2)'}}$, $\|K_\alpha\|_{L_w^{p-\eta} \rightarrow L_w^{q-\varepsilon}} \leq L$ for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \sigma_1$, $0 \leq \eta \leq \sigma_2$ with $\frac{1}{p-\eta} - \frac{1}{q-\varepsilon} = \alpha$, where K_α is the operator defined by $K_\alpha f = I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)$.

Let σ be a small positive number such that $\sigma < \sigma_1 < q - 1$ and let us fix $\varepsilon \in (\sigma, q - 1]$. Then $\frac{q-\sigma}{q-\varepsilon} > 1$. By Hölder's inequality we have that

$$\|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q-\varepsilon}([0,1])} \leq \left(\int_0^1 |I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)(x)|^{q-\sigma} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\sigma}} w([0,1])^{\frac{\varepsilon-\sigma}{(q-\sigma)(q-\varepsilon)}}$$

because $\left(\frac{q-\sigma}{q-\varepsilon} \right)' = \frac{q-\sigma}{\varepsilon-\sigma}$.

Further, the conditions $\sigma < q - 1$ and $\sigma < \varepsilon < q - 1$ yield

$$0 < \frac{\varepsilon-\sigma}{(q-\sigma)(q-\varepsilon)} < \frac{q-1-\sigma}{q-\sigma}, \quad (q-1)\sigma^{-\frac{1}{q-\sigma}} > 1.$$

Consequently, using the well-known result by B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden [10] for the classical weighted Lebesgue spaces:

$$\|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^q([0,1])} \leq c \|f\|_{L_w^p([0,1])} \iff w \in A_{1+q/p'}([0,1]), \quad q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p},$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|I_\alpha f\|_{L_w^{q),\psi(x)}([0,1])} = \max \left\{ \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \sigma} \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q-\varepsilon}([0,1])}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \sup_{\sigma < \varepsilon \leq q-1} \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q-\varepsilon}([0,1])} \right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \sigma} \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q-\varepsilon}([0,1])}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \sup_{\sigma < \varepsilon \leq q-1} \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q-\varepsilon}([0,1])} w([0,1])^{\frac{\varepsilon-\sigma}{(q-\sigma)(q-\varepsilon)}} \right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{ 1, \sup_{\sigma < \varepsilon \leq q-1} \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \psi(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{q-\sigma}} w([0,1])^{\frac{\varepsilon-\sigma}{(q-\sigma)(q-\varepsilon)}} \right\} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \sigma} \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \|I_\alpha(fw^\alpha)\|_{L_w^{q-\varepsilon}([0,1])} \\
& \leq c \max \left\{ 1, \left[\sup_{\sigma < \varepsilon \leq q-1} (\psi(\varepsilon))^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \right] \varphi(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{q-\sigma}} (1 + w([0,1]))^{\frac{q-1-\sigma}{q-\sigma}} \right\} \sup_{0 < \eta \leq \sigma_0} \eta^{\frac{\theta}{p-\eta}} \|f\|_{L_w^{p-\eta}([0,1])} \\
& \leq c \left(\sup_{\sigma < \varepsilon \leq q-1} \psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \right) \varphi(\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{q-\sigma}} (1 + w([0,1]))^{\frac{q-1-\sigma}{q-\sigma}} \|f\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}([0,1])}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here σ_0 is small positive number such that when $0 < \varepsilon \leq \sigma$, then $0 < \eta \leq \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < p-1$. Also, we used the estimates:

$$\psi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \approx \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta(1+\alpha q)}{q-\varepsilon}} \approx \varphi(\varepsilon)^{\frac{\theta}{q-\varepsilon}} = \eta^{\frac{\theta}{p-\eta}}, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\frac{1}{p-\eta} - \frac{1}{q-\varepsilon} = \alpha$. □

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\theta > 0$ and let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that $0 < \alpha < 1/p$. We set $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$. Then I_α is bounded from $L^{p,\theta_1}([0,1])$ to $L^{q,\theta_2}([0,1])$ provided that $\theta_2 > (1+\alpha q)\theta_1$.*

Proof follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 (in the unweighted case $w(x) \equiv \text{const}$) and (1.1). □

4 One-sided potentials

In this section we show that the unboudedness result in grand Lebesgue spaces is also true for the one-sided potentials:

$$(R_\alpha f)(x) = \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad x \in (0, 1);$$

and

$$(W_\alpha f)(x) = \int_x^1 \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$. In particular, we claim that R_α and W_α are not bounded from L^{p,θ_1} to L^{q,θ_2} , where $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$, $\theta_2 < \frac{\theta_1 q}{p}$. Indeed, let us show the result first for R_α .

Suppose the contrary:

$$\|R_\alpha f\|_{L^{q,\theta_2}([0,1])} \leq c \|f\|_{L^{p,\theta_1}([0,1])}, \quad \theta_2 < \frac{\theta_1 q}{p}, \quad (4.1)$$

where c does not depend on f . Let $f_n(x) = \chi_{(0,1/2n)}(x)$ in (4.1). Then taking the following inequality

$$(R_\alpha f_n)(x) \geq \int_0^{\frac{1}{2n}} \frac{1}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt \geq \left(\frac{1}{2n}\right)^\alpha, \quad x \in \left(\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad (4.2)$$

into account, (4.1) yields that

$$(2n)^{-\alpha} \left\| \chi_{\left(\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)} \right\|_{L^{q,\theta_2}([0,1])} \leq c \left\| \chi_{(0,1/2n)} \right\|_{L^{p,\theta_1}([0,1])}. \quad (4.3)$$

Now we choose ε_n positive number so that

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq p-1} \left(\varepsilon^{\theta_1} \frac{1}{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} = \left(\varepsilon_n^{\theta_1} \frac{1}{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon_n}}. \quad (4.4)$$

We now observe that $\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_n = 0$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.1 for the similar arguments).

Choose now η_n so that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p - \varepsilon_n} - \frac{1}{q - \eta_n}.$$

Hence,

$$\eta_n = q - \frac{p - \varepsilon_n}{1 - \alpha(p - \varepsilon_n)}. \quad (4.5)$$

By (4.3)-(4.5) we conclude that

$$(2n)^{-\alpha} \eta_n^{\frac{\theta_2}{q-\eta_n}} \left(\frac{1}{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\eta_n}} \leq c \varepsilon_n^{\frac{\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_n}} (2n)^{-1/(p-\varepsilon_n)}. \quad (4.6)$$

From (4.6) we have that

$$\eta_n^{\frac{\theta_2}{q-\eta_n}} \varepsilon_n^{-\frac{\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_n}} \leq c_p, \quad \text{for all } n \in N \quad (4.7)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon_n}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \frac{1}{2} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-\eta_n}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (4.5) yields

$$\left[\frac{q - \frac{p-\varepsilon_n}{1-\alpha(p-\varepsilon_n)}}{\varepsilon_n} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{p-\varepsilon_n}-\alpha\theta_2} \cdot \varepsilon_n^{-\frac{\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_n} + \frac{\theta_2}{p-\varepsilon_n}-\alpha\theta_2} \leq c_p.$$

Hence,

$$\left[\frac{q - \frac{p-\varepsilon_n}{1-\alpha(p-\varepsilon_n)}}{\varepsilon_n} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{p-\varepsilon_n}-\alpha\theta_2} \varepsilon_n^{\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_n}-\alpha\theta_2} \leq c_p,$$

which is impossible, because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n^{\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{p-\varepsilon_n}-\alpha\theta_2} = \infty$ (recall that $\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{p} - \alpha\theta_2 = \frac{\theta_2}{q} - \frac{\theta_1}{p} < 0$).

Analogously, we have that W_α is not bounded from $L^{p),\theta_1}$ to $L^{q),\theta_2}$. This follows from the inequalities

$$(W_\alpha)(x) \geq \int_x^{1-\frac{1}{3n}} \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^{1-\alpha}} dt \geq \left(\frac{2}{3n}\right)^{\alpha-1} \cdot \frac{1}{6n} = c_\alpha n^{-\alpha}, \quad x \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right),$$

where $f(t) = \chi_{(1-\frac{1}{2n}, 1-\frac{1}{3n})}(t)$. Hence,

$$c_\alpha n^{-\alpha} \left\| \chi_{(1-\frac{1}{n}, 1-\frac{1}{2n})} \right\|_{L^{q),\theta_2}([0,1])} \leq c \left\| \chi_{(1-\frac{1}{2n}, 1-\frac{1}{3n})} \right\|_{L^{p),\theta_1}([0,1])}.$$

Choosing now ε_n so that

$$\left[\varepsilon_n^{\theta_1} \frac{1}{6n} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon_n}} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon_n \leq p-1} \left[\varepsilon_n^{\theta_1} \frac{1}{6n} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_n \leq p-1,$$

and observing that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.1 for the similar arguments) we find that the conclusion similar to the case of R_α is valid.

4.1 Conclusions and Remarks

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let I_α , R_α , W_α be potential operators defined above. In the sequel we denote by T_α one of these operators.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $0 < \alpha < 1/p$. We set $q = \frac{p}{1-\alpha p}$. Suppose that θ_1 and θ_2 be positive numbers. Then:*

- (i) *If $\theta_2 < (1 + \alpha q)\theta_1$, then T_α is not bounded from L^{p,θ_1} to L^{q,θ_2} .*
- (ii) *If $\theta_2 \geq (1 + \alpha q)\theta_1$, then T_α is bounded from L^{p,θ_1} to L^{q,θ_2} .*

Remark 5.1. There is a function f from $L^p \setminus L^p$ such that $T_\alpha f \in L^q \setminus L^q$.

Indeed, let $f(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{p}}$, $t \in (0, 1)$. Then $f \in L^p \setminus L^p$. On the other hand, (see e. g. [11]), $T_\alpha f \approx t^{-\frac{1}{q}}$. Hence $T_\alpha f \in L^q \setminus L^q$.

Acknowledgements

The author expresses his gratitude to Professor V. Kokilashvili for careful reading of the manuscript and valuable comments and suggestions. The author thanks also Professor L. Ephremidze for the discussions regarding the proof of Theorem 2.1.

References

- [1] C. Capone and A. Fiorenza, On small Lebesgue spaces, *J. Function Spaces and Applications*, **3**(2005), 73–89.
- [2] J. Duandikoetxea, Fourier analysis, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, Vol. 29, *AMS, Providence, Rhode Island*, 2001.
- [3] A. Fiorenza, Duality and reflexivity in grand Lebesgue spaces, *Collect. Math.* **51** (2) (2000), 131-148.
- [4] A. Fiorenza, B. Gupta and P. Jain, The maximal theorem in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces, *Studia Math.* **188**(2)(2008), 123-133.
- [5] A. Fiorenza and G. E. Karadzhov, Grand and small Lebesgue spaces and their analogs, *Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "Mauro Picone"*, Rapporto Technico No. 316/06.
- [6] L. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, Inverting the p -harmonic operator, *Manuscripta Math.* **92**(1997), 249–258.
- [7] T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **119** (1992) 129–143.

- [8] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, A note on the boundedness of the Hilbert transform in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* **16** (2009), Number 3, 547–551.
- [9] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [10] B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **192** (1974), 261–276.
- [11] S. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications, *Gordon and Breach, London*, 1993.

Author's Address:

A. Meskhi:

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, M. Aleksidze St., Tbilisi 0193, Georgia

Second Address: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Informatics and Control Systems, Georgian Technical University, 77, Kostava St., Tbilisi, Georgia.

e-mail: meskhi@rmi.acnet.ge